




Prof. Dr. Armin Schäfer


How was Trump possible? Vertical and horizontal inequality in the United States (062089 SS 2018)
No. of responses = 22

Overall indicators


Teacher and teaching




Additional module: Discussion



Additional module: Basic texts




Additional module: Course materials



Survey Results


Legend

Question text




Gender and course of study

Please indicate your gender.




Please indicate your current semester of study.



Additional note for paper-based surveys: If you are studying in your 3rd semester, mark "0" in the first row and "3" in the second row.

Please indicate your subject of study which made you attend this course.




Note: The relevant key for the subject and for the type of degree you are taking (see next question) can be found in the table. Additional note for paper-based surveys: For the code "052" mark "0" in the first row, "5" in the second row, and "2" in the third row.

Please indicate the degree, diploma or certificate for which you are currently studying.




Teacher and teaching


The course provided me with a good overview of the topics covered.




The teacher often used examples that helped me understand the course content.




In my opinion the teacher responded adequately to students' questions and comments.




The course content was presented in a way that aroused my interest.




During the course I was able to follow the structure at all times.




In my opinion the teacher's time management in the course was good.




The course made me look forward to deeper involvement in certain course content in the future.




The course content was presented in such a way that I was able to understand it well.



The course content was presented in such a way that interconnections between the topics covered became clear to me.




I received concrete information regarding post-preparation of the course content.




How many hours did you spend every week on preparation and post-preparation for the course?



For me, the presentation and communication of the course content was...




On a scale ranging from 1.0 (very good) to 5.0 (unacceptable), I would grade the course




Additional module: Discussion


There was sufficient discussion to enable me to look at the subject matter from various perspectives.




The discussions deepened my understanding of the content.




Whenever clarification was needed, the teacher's explanations were helpful.



I consider the discussions that took place during the course productive and fruitful.




The discussions encouraged me to take a closer look at the subject matter.




Additional module: Basic texts


I was able to understand the study texts well.



The basic texts helped me to understand the topics covered better.




Whenever clarification was needed, the teacher's explanations facilitated my understanding of the study texts.




Additional module: Course materials


The media used by the teacher helped me understand the course content.




The additional materials provided helped me learn better.



The quality of the additional materials was good.



In my opinion the amount of material provided during the course was...




Profile


Subunit: **FB06 Politikwissenschaft**
 Name of the instructor: **Prof. Dr. Armin Schäfer**
 Name of the course: **How was Trump possible? Vertical and horizontal inequality in the United States**
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean


Teacher and teaching



Additional module: Discussion



Additional module: Basic texts



Additional module: Course materials

The media used by the teacher helped me understand the course content.



n=22 av.=6,0 md=6,0 dev.=1,0

The additional materials provided helped me learn better.



n=20 av.=5,2 md=5,0 dev.=1,1

The quality of the additional materials was good.



n=20 av.=5,9 md=6,0 dev.=1,2

In my opinion the amount of material provided during the course was...



n=21 av.=3,4 md=3,0 dev.=0,7

Comments Report

Teacher and teaching

Additional notes and comments for the teacher (suggestions, praise, criticism). (Note: Please write inside the box only. The teacher will receive an image of your hand-written comments.)

- Very interesting books covering important topics
- At some times, things seemed a little stressed. Could be better to propose lesser presentation
- Very good understanding of the teacher for the topics

I really liked most of the presentations, however it was often a little redundant. More time for discussion and other input besides student presentations would have been nice. However, I recognize that this wouldn't have been possible due to time constraints. The overall information

received was very comprehensive

Very interesting topic.

Discussions were fruitful.

Best class among all my other classes.

Verteilung Input Schäfer - Referate war nicht ganz gut.

mentimeter ✓ Vielleicht besser mehr Abwechslung

↳ mehr Hintergrund-Infos + evtl. stärkere Zusammenfassung oder mögl. Antwort auf Frage

Insgesamt ein sehr gutes Seminar! Aufbau, Videos und Format des Blockseminars haben mir sehr gut gefallen.

sowie Bücher, interaktive Gestaltung ⊕

⊖ Blockseminar schlecht eingeteilt → Hat man schon in der 1. Sitzung vorstellen müssen, was es unfair zu denjenigen, die erst 6 Wochen später präsentiert haben. ~~Warten, bis~~ Mehr Zeit zwischen Buchpreis und 1. Sitzung wäre daher besser.

Sehr angenehmes Klima im Kurs

Prof. war sehr "zugänglich" und hat das Blockseminar angenehm gestaltet

Das Thema d. Kurses ist sehr interessant, der Dozent erscheint sehr kompetent und fasste die Inhalte gut zusammen. Ich hätte mit jedoch mehr Input von Dozierenden erhofft. Zudem war das Kursformat teilweise sehr anstrengend, da zu viele Frontalvorträge gehalten wurden. Eine andere Methode wären besser gewesen (Gruppenarbeiten, Working Seminar etc.) ^{abwechslungsreichere}

Das Buchformat hat etwas überhand genommen. 25 Vorträge zu Büchern in 3 Sitzungen ist zu viel. Vielleicht könnten die Bücher auf eins pro Thema reduziert und in Gruppen bearbeitet werden. Auch das Frontalformat kann dynamischer gestaltet werden.

Danke für die erregte und motivierende Moderation. Insgesamt ein

-interessantes Format durch die Buchvorstellungen

-> etwas anstrengend zum Zuhören

Fascinating subject matter. I think it was definitely presented well so that students could understand the factors. Would have appreciated changing up just the book presentations as sometimes they went too long.

I liked the format with the presentation of books, but unfortunately time was short so it would maybe be helpful to have an (shorter) additional day in the semester or the future.

Grundsätzlich finde ich den Ansatz, ein ganzes Buch zu lesen, statt jede Woche ein anderes Paper, sehr gut.

Das alle Blockierte dann aber ausschließlich aus Buchpräsentationen bestanden, was aus meiner Sicht eher unglücklich und auf Dauer sehr ermüdend.

How was Trump possible? Vertical and horizontal inequality in the United States

Responses = 22 questionnaires

Prof. Dr. Armin Schäfer

